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## 2014-15 SMARTER BALANCED ASSESSMENT RESULTS

## SMARTER BALANCED CUT SCORES

- The Level 3 standard is intended to represent the academic readiness threshold for success in non-remedial college courses.
- OUS and Oregon Community colleges have announced placement policies for high school students achieving at Level 3 and Level 4.
- Graduation Standards (i.e., Essential Skills) are likely to be lower.


## SMARTER BALANCED TESTS ADMINISTERED IN 2014-15

- Participation: must respond to at least 5 items on the CAT and 1 item on the PT.
- A fairly large number of students started the assessment but were not counted as participants.

| Subject | Test Name | Number of <br> Tests Started | Number of <br> Tests <br> Completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language | Compute Adaptive <br> (CAT) | $\mathbf{2 8 5 , 3 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 3 , 0 1 1}$ |
| Arts | Performance Task <br> (PT) | $\mathbf{2 8 4 , 1 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 1 , 1 6 8}$ |
|  | Total Participants | $\mathbf{2 8 2 , 0 3 7}$ |  |
| Mathematics | Compute Adaptive <br> (CAT) | $\mathbf{2 8 4 , 4 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 1 , 8 8 7}$ |
|  | Performance Task <br> (PT) | $\mathbf{2 8 8 3 , 2 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 2 , 8 0 7}$ |
| Total Participants |  | $\mathbf{2 8 1 , 6 5 1}$ |  |
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## PRELIMINARY PARTICIPATION

- Participation: must respond to at least 5 items on the CAT and 1 item on the PT.
- Rates include extended assessments.

| PRELIMINARY <br> Participation Rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | ELA | Math | Science |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 97.0 | 96.9 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 97.6 | 97.4 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 97.5 | 97.2 | 97.9 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 97.2 | 97.1 |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 96.9 | 96.6 |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 96.3 | 95.9 | 90.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 88.8 | 87.2 | 80.2 |
| All Grades | 96.0 | 95.5 | 89.6 |


| PRELIMINARY Participation Rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | ELA | Math |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 96.8 | 96.4 |
| English Learners | 98.3 | 98.3 |
| Students with Disabilities | 93.7 | 93.1 |
| American Indian/AK Native | 95.9 | 95.5 |
| Black/African American | 93.4 | 92.6 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 97.4 | 97.0 |
| Pacific Islander | 97.0 | 96.5 |
| Asian | 97.0 | 96.6 |
| White | 95.5 | 95.0 |
| Multi-racial | 95.3 | 94.8 |

Oregon Assessment of Knowledge and Skills
English Language Arts, English Language Proficiency, Mathematics, Science, Social Sciences

## PRELIMINARY SMARTER RESULTS

- Scoring: ODE has reviewed the partially completed tests and arrived at the following rules:
$\square$ All participants will receive a score.
- Most students with partially completed tests will be at level 1 .
- Data below shows includes partially completed tests.

| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | SB Tests (Expected 41K-42K) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Le } \\ \text { Oregon } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | vel 3 or Hig Field Test \% | her <br> Improvement |
| 3 | 40,847 | 47 | 38 | 9 |
| 4 | 40,129 | 51 | 41 | 10 |
| 5 | 40,547 | 55 | 44 | 11 |
| 6 | 40,142 | 54 | 41 | 13 |
| 7 | 39,811 | 57 | 38 | 19 |
| 8 | 40,325 | 58 | 41 | 17 |
|  | 35,756 | 69 | 41 | 28 |


| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students <br> (Expected <br> G1K-42K) | Oregon <br> \% | Field Test <br> \% | Improve- <br> ment |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 41,169 | 47 | 39 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 40,316 | 45 | 37 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 40,435 | 42 | 33 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 40,363 | 39 | 33 | 6 |
| 7 | 39,855 | 44 | 33 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 40,417 | 44 | 32 | 12 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 35,482 | 31 | 33 | $(2)$ |
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## EFFECT SIZES

- While we can compare percentage meeting on two different assessments to compare achievement gaps, there are more reliable options.
- Effect Size - computes a "standardized" achievement gap that can be compared across assessments.
"Uses average scores for subgroups.
-Divides by the standard deviation for an assessment (measure of the spread of scores)
-Creates a measure of how different the score distribution is for two different groups.



## INTERPRETING EFFECT SIZES

- One Interpretation - what would the score percentile be for the "average" ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile) student in one group, if placed in another group?
- Data here are preliminary, and may change when some student's tests are rescored upwards.


## Effect Sizes - Grade 8

Where would the average student in a group place if he/she were in the White Group, expressed as a percentile

| Student Group | ELA/Reading |  | Mathematics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OAKS | Smarter | OAKS | Smarter |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 32 | 38 | 34 | 35 |
| English Learners | 4 | 13 | 10 | 13 |
| Students with Disabilities | 12 | 20 | 14 | 16 |
| American Indian/AK Native | 29 | 36 | 30 | 30 |
| Black/African American | 24 | 31 | 23 | 23 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 28 | 36 | 32 | 33 |
| UIEGU11 HNDEDSHIEIL UI NHUvviEUgE allu NKils <br> English Language Arts, English Language Proficiency, Mathematics, Science, Social Sciences |  |  |  |  |

## GROWTH

- We have run the growth model to evaluate the transition from OAKS to Smarter Balanced.

| PRELIMINARY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median Growth Percentile | All Grades |  |  |  |
|  | ELA/Reading | Mathematics |  |  |
| Student Group | OAKS | Smarter | OAKS | Smarter |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 47 | 47 | 47 | 46 |
| English Learners | 47 | 53 | 47 | 44 |
| Students with Disabilities | 43 | 40 | 42 | 42 |
| American Indian/AK Native | 45 | 39 | 48 | 43 |
| Black/African American | 45 | 42 | 43 | 42 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 47 | 51 | 47 | 46 |
| Pacific Islander | 45 | 52 | 50 | 49 |
| Asian | 58 | 69 | 61 | 62 |
| White | 51 | 49 | 50 | 51 |
| Multi-racial | 50 | 50 | 50 | 51 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## LINKING THE SMARTER AND OAKS SCALES

## WHY LINK THE SCALES?

- Requirements for Essential Skills are set forth in OAR 581-o220615:
- (6) The Superintendent of Public Instruction shall establish an Assessment of Essential Skills Review Panel (AESRP) to make recommendations.
- (7) The AESRP shall work toward the goal of a system with a high degree of technical adequacy and equivalent rigor between assessment options as practicable.
- (14)(b) Students may use achievement standards adopted in their 9th through 12th grade years that are equal to or lower than the achievement standards approved as of March 1 of the students' 8th grade year.
- This rule requires that we find scores on Smarter Balanced that correspond to the old "meets" cuts on OAKS.
- We are working with AESRP to set these equivalents.


## GUIDING PRINCIPLES

We are using the following principles as we work on the linking between the two sets of tests:

- A student who met the Essential Skills standard under the OAKS assessment should also meet the standard under the Smarter Balanced assessment
- The level of performance set by the state Board of Education for the Essential Skills requirement should be comparable


## LINKING METHODOLOGIES

ODE Staff have been looking at three different methods to link the tests:

- Method 1: Match the statewide distribution of students on both assessments (OAKS 2013-14 and Smarter Balanced 2014-15)
- Method 2: Compare pairs of scores of students who "double tested" in 2014 on the Smarter Balanced field test and OAKS operational assessment
- Method 3: Embed OAKS items in Smarter Balanced operational assessments and link the two scales through item difficulty parameters


## LINKING METHOD 1

Match the statewide distribution of students on both assessments (OAKS 2013-14 and Smarter Balanced 2014-15)

## Advantages:

- Student motivation may have been more comparable than with Method 2 (field test direct link),
- Large sample size allows the comparison of linking results across student groups
Limitations:
" Unknown effect of "opt-outs" (estimated at 8-10\%)
- Unknown effect of one additional year of common core instruction
- Unknown effect of multiple opportunities on OAKS vs. a single opportunity on Smarter Balanced
= Students who already met the Essential Skills on OAKS may have had less incentive to perform well on the Smarter Balanced assessments


## LINKING METHOD 2

Compare pairs of scores of students who "double tested" in 2014 on the Smarter Balanced field test and OAKS operational assessment.

## Advantage:

- Common student design controls for population differences that might exist in the Method 1 (assumption of randomly equivalent groups is not critical, since there is only one group)
Limitations:
- Unknown effect of lower motivation on the Smarter Balanced field test (scores did not count and were not returned)
- Reading scores (Claim 1) and writing scores (Claim 2) on the Smarter Balanced assessment were based on relatively few items, resulting in lower precision
- Relatively small sample size (1000-1300) reduces the ability to compare linking results across student groups
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## LINKING METHOD 3

Embed OAKS items in Smarter Balanced operational assessments and link the two scales through item difficulty parameters.

## Advantage:

- Reduces the effect of differential motivation


## Limitations:

- OAKS employs a limited set of item types (multiple choice and graphic response), limiting generalizability to the Smarter Balanced measures (i.e., student familiarity with OAKS item types, and conversely, unfamiliarity with Smarter Balanced item types that are not included in the analysis, may bias the result)
- Relatively small sample size ( $\mathrm{N} \sim 750$ ) reduces the ability to compare linking results across student groups


## EARLY INDICATIONS FROM LINKING

- The Assessment of Essential Skills Review Panel (AESRP) is reviewing the linking data.
- Method 1 (matching distribution) and Method 2 (analysis of double-tests) are producing similar results.
- Method 3 (item embedding) is producing somewhat higher Smarter Equivalents.
- The AESRP will meet over the coming month to review the data and make recommendations for the State Board at their September $17^{\text {th }}$ meeting.
- We are looking for adoption no later than the October Board meeting.

