

Last Revised (1/24/14)

FORM 1

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ACQUISITION FILE REVIEW

Date:

| Student Information | Name:Student ID: | Grade: | | Gender: Select: | Birthdate: |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Information | Address: | | Select City:, OR | Zip Code: | |
| | | | | | <u></u> |
| Parent | Mother's Name: Father | | | 's Name: | |
| Information | Stepmother? Yes No | | Stepfa | ather? Yes No | |
| | Adoptive Mother? Yes No | | Adopt | ive Father ? 🔲 Yes | No |
| | INDICATORS RELATI | ED TO LAI | NGUA | GE ACQUISITION | V |
| | the Student Profile from <u>Teacher Data value</u> ne referring teacher can go to Reports/O | | | | |
| Student Detai | ls: | | | | |
| Demographics | | | | | |
| Demograpme. | • | | | | |
| Race: Am. Ind./Al Hispanic Asian | askan Native | | | ☐ Africa ☐ Multi- | |
| Language: Indian Ed: | | | | Homeless AVID: | |
| - | immary: Review attendance section an attendance (less than 80% attendance). | d list below | school | year(s) with Standa | ard Not Met (less than 92 |
| YearYear | | | | Year Year | % % |
| ELPA Summa | ary: Review the data for each of the ELI | PA strands | (printin | g the graph in color | is very helpful.) |
| • Date of mo | years where <i>Result</i> (Proficiency Level) st recent ELPA: smposite (Proficiency Level): | stayed the | same or | regressed from one | year to the next |
| Beginni | ng Early Intermediate | Intermedia | ate | Early Advance | d Advanced |
| | s of ELPA strand data indicates (strengt | _ | | | |
| ENROLLME | NT HISTORY | | | | |
| Please mark all | that apply: | | | | |
| Enrolled in | district less than 2 years | lity-More tl | nan 1 sc | hool transfer or re-e | enter in less than 3 years |
| SPECIAL PO | <u>PULATIONS</u> | | | | |
| • | | (Past) cate | | ber of years on Disc also included in Tra | |

ASSESSMENTS

OAKS

Please list any recent results that were Very Low, Low, or Nearly Meets.

| Test Date | Test Name | Score | Result |
|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Please review the DRA/EDL report on the Teacher Data Warehouse, and list any results that indicate that the student might be considered "Intensive" or, in the case of Lit 2, is failing to lie within the biliteracy zone.

| Test Date | DRA Reading Level | EDL Reading Level |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

STUDENT PROFILE

Please review the CUM FOLDER to complete the sections that may be contributive to the concern.

• ELL Program Model changes in his/her academic career (Refer to Progress Reports)

| School Year | Previous Program Model (ESOL, Dual, Literacy Squared) | Program Model Change (ESOL, Dual, Literacy Squared) |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| | | |
| | | |

• ELD grades. Please list any grades below C for secondary and any AC for elementary: (Refer to Progress Reports)

| ore |
|-----|
| |
| |
| |

| Score |
|-------|
| |
| |

| ; | Score | School Year |
|---|-------|-------------|
| | | |
| | | |

• Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey- (WMLS-R) –Refer to WMLS printout-Please list any Standard Scores (SS) from the report that are below 90 along with the corresponding score in the other language (i.e. Verbal Analogies English 88/ Spanish 111.)

MOST CURRENT WMLS-R Information

| Date of Testing | Grade | Test/CLUSTER | SS (68% Band) English-Spanish |
|-----------------|-------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | Picture Vocabulary | |
| | | Verbal Analogies | |
| | | Letter Word Identification | |
| | | Dictation | |

PREVIOUS WMLS-R Information

| Date of Testing | Grade | Test/CLUSTER | SS (68% Band) English-Spanish |
|-----------------|-------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | Picture Vocabulary | |
| | | Verbal Analogies | |
| | | Letter Word Identification | |
| | | Dictation | |

Instructional Services Salem-Keizer Public Schools Last Revised (1/24/14) ISS-F027

FORM 1

Please refer to page 4 for additional information about interpreting the WMLS-R.

| Below average performance on Picture Vocabulary may indicate lac and/or prior educational experiences specific to the prevalent culture OR in | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Below average performance on Verbal Analogies usually indicates povocabulary knowledge may also be a factor. | or ability to draw verbal inferences; OR, lack of |
| Below average performance on Letter-Word Identification may indice response styles. A subject with non-automatic word-identification skills may more time and greater attention to phonological analysis to determine may have developed some word-identification skills but may be unwilling | ay identify several words accurately but may require the correct response. In other cases, however, a subject |
| Below average performance on Dictation indicates difficulty with spel correspondence and those that require the memorization of visual features. | ling words that have regular grapheme-phoneme |
| An analysis of the student responses on the Dictation responses indicates t | he following difficulties: |
| Addition of unnecessary letters, e.g Omission of needed letter, e.g Mispronunciations or dialectal speech patterns, e.g Reversals of whole words, vowels, consonants, or syllables, e.g. Consonant or vowel directionality, e.g Incorrect associations of sounds with letters, e.g Phonetic spellings of non-phonetic words, e.g | |
| RISK FACTORS: (Check all that apply and briefly explain) | |
| Economic: Low household income, unemployment | on deficit disorder, attention deficit/hyperactivity s asthma, diabetes, and othersn as divorce |
| COMMENTS: | |
| Person completing this form: | Date: |

FORM 1

Interpreting the WMLS-R

Classification of Standard Score and Percentile Rank Ranges

| Standard Score Range | Percentile Rank Range | WMLS-R Classification |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 131 and above | 98 to 99.9 | Very Superior |
| 121 to 130 | 92 to 97 | Superior |
| 111 to 120 | 76 to 91 | High Average |
| 90 to 110 | 25 to 75 | Average |
| 80 to 89 | 9 to 24 | Low Average |
| 70 to 79 | 3 to 8 | Low |
| 69 and below | 0.1 to 2 | Very Low |
| | | |

Ranking Oral Language Tests by Level of Complexity

Test /CLUSTER: Picture Vocabulary is at the least complex end of the continuum.

This is primarily an expressive language task that requires recognition and identification of objects. No understanding of the meaning or function of the object is required for successful execution of the task. This test requires active listening, as well as other task requirements.

Picture vocabulary can be influenced by mainstream cultural and environmental learning. Successful performance on this test relies heavily on adequate language and environmental stimulation and prior educational experiences specific to the prevalent culture. Subjects who perform poorly on Picture Vocabulary may lack such stimulation or may experience word retrieval difficulties.

Test /CLUSTER: Verbal Analogies is at the more complex end of the continuum.

This is a verbal reasoning task in which the subject must have full understanding of the meanings of the three stimulus words to complete the analogy with a fourth word. The subject must also infer a relationship between the first two words. Using the third word in the analogy and then applying the relationship, the subject produces a word to complete the analogy. This test involves spoken output along with other task requirements.

Verbal Analogies involves a part of cognition that reflects verbal comprehension knowledge as well as fluid reasoning. Performance on this test is influenced primarily by verbal reasoning skills and secondarily by lexical knowledge. Low scores on Verbal Analogies usually indicate poor ability to draw verbal inferences; however, poor vocabulary knowledge can also be a factor.

Test/CLUSTER: Letter-Word Identification measures basic reading skills.

A subject who performs well on sight-word recognition skills, but poorly in the oral language tests, may need to have instruction focused more on oral language learning than on reading.

Low scores on Letter-Word Identification may indicate inefficient word-identification strategies or response styles. A subject with non-automatic word-identification skills may identify several words accurately but may require more time and greater attention to phonological analysis to determine the correct response. In other cases, however, a subject may have developed some word-identification skills but may be unwilling to try, frustrated, or afraid to risk making an error.

Test /CLUSTER: Dictation measures knowledge of prewriting skills, punctuation, capitalization, spelling, and word usage. The task requires the subject to produce written responses to oral prompts.

Poor performance on the prewriting items in Test 4 may be related to handwriting readiness. If a subject is unable to complete Items 1 through 8, he or she may not have developed the muscular control or visual-motor skills needed for beginning handwriting. An analysis of the error pattern of word usage, punctuation, and capitalization items provide information on areas requiring further instruction. Word usage items in the English version include the correct use of the superlative form and regular and irregular plurals. Word usage items in the Spanish version include the correct use of different verb tenses and plurals.

Analysis of the spelling items on Test 4 may help examiners differentiate between phonetically accurate and phonetically inaccurate spellers. A difference can be determined in the subject's ability to spell words that have regular grapheme-phoneme correspondence and those that require the memorization of visual features. In addition, the following specific error patterns often can be found in the subject's misspellings:

a) addition of unnecessary letters b) omission of needed letters d) reversals of whole words, vowels, consonants, or syllables f) incorrect associations of sounds with letters

c)mispronunciations or dialectal speech patterns e) consonant or vowel directionality g)phonetic spellings of non-phonetic words