Data-Based Decision Making: Reference Sheets and Templates

Presentation:

Child Find and English Learners: Helping School Teams Make Appropriate Special Education Evaluation Referrals

Organized by:

Beth Hoecker-Martinez, School Psychologist, Linn Benton Lincoln Educational Service District Leah Hinkle, ELL Support Specialist, Greater Albany Public Schools Claudia Nuñez, Bilingual Speech-Language Pathologist, Linn Benton Lincoln Educational Service District

Red Zone Checklist for English Language Learners

St	udent: Grade: Teacher:
	Coordinator of intervention process:
	2. Reason for concern:
	3. Comprehensive Review of Academic Records: (Appendix A)
	4. Parent Notification (Date:)
	5. Collect Language, Acculturation, and Developmental History: (Appendix B)
	6. Interview reviewed by SLP (Date:)
	7. Document Intervention(s) and Monitor Progress (complete form in specific areas of concern only)
	— Reading (Appendix C)
	— Math (Appendix D)
	— Behavior (Appendix E)
	8. Data-Based Decision Making (use gathered data to make decisions)
	— FADE intervention (student is at or near benchmark/grade level)
	 CONTINUE intervention (student is making the expected progress, but is not is at or near benchmark/grade level)
	 MODIFY intervention (student is not making the expected progress, consider modifying the intervention or referring for a special education evaluation)

Appendix A Sample Comprehensive Review of Academic Records

Student:		Gra	de:		Date:	/ /		Teache	r:	
Grade	Kinder	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	6 th	7 th	8 th	H.S.
School Year										
School Attended										
Days Absent										
	L		-1		_I	l	1	l	1	l
			Date	of Last So	creening			Please	Circle	
Vision Screening								Pass	Fail	
Hearing Screening								Pass	Fail	
to develop strategies (sa No-Please contact an EL Yes- Please list the strate ————————————————————————————————————	D specialist regies that yo	for suppo ou are usi	ort ing:			the studer	nt be succ	essful in t	he classro	oom?
, ,	Kinder	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	6 th	7 th	8 th	9 th
Minutes per week of EL	D									
Please Check all that apply:										
ELD Pullout										
Content ELD										
Dual Immersion	<u> </u>		D (; ;	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	5.6	61 12			
Current CALP (Cognitive	Academic L	anguage	Proficiend	cy) Level,	please see	: Referenc	e Sheet 3	<u> </u>	1	1
ELPA										
IPT										
WMLS SOLOM										
SOLUIVI										
Has the student made examples YES Do you think the current YES Are oral and/or written leading.	NO CALP Level NO	is accura	ite (Please	e see <i>Refe</i>	rence She	et 4)?			l Reference	5 <i>, 6, 7</i>)?

If the student is not making the expected growth or you have other concerns about his/her English language acquisition, please meet with your ELD Teacher or ELL Support Specialist to develop additional strategies to help the student be successful in your classroom (samples are available in Reference Sheet 1). If the student is receiving other interventions (ex. reading), consider adding strategies to help ELLs to the intervention (Please see Reference Sheet 2).

Appendix B

Sample Language, Acculturation, and Developmental History

Person interviewed/relationship to student	Interviewer	Language Used	Date
Acculturation:			
Country of family origin:			
Number of Years in the U.S./Canada:			
Number of Years in School/District:			
Do you read to your child in their native language?			
Language Development:			
What language was your child first exposed to?			
What language did your child first speak?			
When did your child start talking?			
Does your child having trouble understanding or expressing	g themselves in their na	tive language? (i.e. slow t	o respond,
baby talk, poor pronunciation, etc)			
Does your child understand questions?			
Native language: Yes/No			
English: Yes/No			
Does your child understand directions?			
Native language: Yes/No			
English: Yes/No			
Do other adults understand your child when they talk?			
Almost never			
Some of the time			
Most of the time			
Does your child get frustrated by their ability to communic	ate?		
What language does the child speak with the following and -Mother			
-Father			
-Siblings			
-Extended Family			
-Peers			

Is the child noticeably different from siblings or peers in his/her rate of learning at home?
General Medical History:
Is there any child or family medical history that may be related to your child's concerns?
☐ Allergies ☐ Frequent colds ☐ Ear infections ☐ Ear tubes ☐ Hearing problems ☐ take any medication
☐ Accident ☐ Head injury ☐ High fevers ☐ Seizures ☐ Fainting ☐ Experienced any traumatic events
☐ Vision problems ☐ Glasses ☐ Coordination problems ☐ Wetting/Night ☐ Wetting/Day ☐ Soiled pants
Other:
Is there anything I haven't asked you about that you think is important for me to know?

Appendix C

Sample Documentation: Concerns with Reading

History:

	1 st Grade		1 st Grade 2 nd Grade		3 rd Grade		4 th Grade		5 th Grade					
	W	S	F	W	S	F	W	S	F	W	S	F	W	S
Oral Reading Fluency														
Avg growth per wk														
Expected growth	2 wo	ord/min	1.5 v	vords/m	inute	1 \	word/min	ute	0.85 v	vord/mii	nute	0.5	word/i	min
State Assessments	Λ	I/A		N/A										
Other:														
Other:														
									•					

English Language Inde	ependent Reading Level: Spanish Language Independent Reading Level:
Current:	
Core Instruction:	(/minutes per day)
Intervention #1	ClassroomTitle IELLOther
Start Date	End Date:
Curriculum or Interver	ntion Name:
Measurable Goal:	
Group Size:	Individual:2-5:6-15:15-30:Class:
Frequency:	One/wkTwo/wkThree/wkFour/wkDaily
Duration:	15 min:20 min:30 min:45 min:60 min:Other:
Intervention Teacher	Cert. GenEdCert. SpEdSEAVolunteer Other:
Intervention #2	ClassroomTitle IELLOther
Start Date	_ End Date:
Curriculum or Interve	ention Name:
Measurable Goal:	
Group Size:	Individual:2-5:6-15:15-30:Class:
Frequency:	One/wkTwo/wkThree/wkFour/wkDaily
Duration:	15 min:20 min:30 min:45 min:60 min:Other:
Intervention Teacher	Cert. GenEdCert. SpEdSEAVolunteer Other:
Date	
Score	
Did student make	
expected growth?	÷ =
_	(last probe) (1st probe) total growth total growth #weeks avg. weekiy growth

If student is not making expected growth (See Reference Sheet 8) with good reading interventions, consider a referral for an assessment for a special education evaluation (unless other factors are the primary cause of the student's academic difficulties).

Appendix D

Sample Documentation: Concerns with Math

3rd Grade

4th Grade

5th Grade

6th Grade

2nd Grade

1st Grade

History:

If using progress

Monitoring:

State Assessments	N/A	N/A					
Other:							
Other:							
Current:							
Core Instruction:			(/minute	s per day)			
				s per day,			
	ClassroomTi		Other				
Start Date							
Curriculum or Intervent	ion Name:						
Measurable Goal:							
Group Size:			_6-15:15-30:				
Frequency:	One/wk_	Two/wk	Three/wk	Four/wkD	aily		
Duration:	15 min:_	20 min::	30 min:45 min	:60 min:	Other:	,	
Intervention Teacher	Cert. GenEd	Cert. SpEdS	EAVolunteer_	Other:			
Intervention #2	Classrooi	mTitle I	ELLOther_				
Start Date	End Date:						
	+: A/						
Curriculum or Interven	tion						
Measurable Goal:							
Measurable Goal:	Individua	al:2-5:		Class:			
Measurable Goal: Group Size:	Individua One/wk_	al:2-5: Two/wk	_6-15:15-30:	Class: Four/wkDa	 aily		
Measurable Goal: Group Size: Frequency:	Individua One/wk_ 15 min:_	al:2-5: Two/wk 20 min::	_6-15:15-30: Three/wk 30 min:45 min	Class: Four/wkDa :60 min:	 aily Other:		
Measurable Goal: Group Size: Frequency: Duration:	Individua One/wk_ 15 min:_	al:2-5: Two/wk 20 min::	_6-15:15-30: Three/wk 30 min:45 min	Class: Four/wkDa :60 min:	 aily Other:		
Measurable Goal: Group Size: Frequency: Duration: Intervention Teacher	Individua One/wk_ 15 min:_	al:2-5: Two/wk 20 min::	_6-15:15-30: Three/wk 30 min:45 min	Class: Four/wkDa :60 min:	 aily Other:		
Measurable Goal: Group Size: Frequency: Duration: Intervention Teacher	Individua One/wk_ 15 min:_	al:2-5: Two/wk 20 min::	_6-15:15-30: Three/wk 30 min:45 min	Class: Four/wkDa :60 min:	 aily Other:		
Measurable Goal: Group Size: Frequency: Duration: Intervention Teacher	Individua One/wk_ 15 min:_	al:2-5: Two/wk 20 min::	_6-15:15-30: Three/wk 30 min:45 min	Class: Four/wkDa :60 min:	 aily Other:		
Measurable Goal: Group Size: Frequency: Duration: Intervention Teacher	Individua One/wk_ 15 min:_	al:2-5: Two/wk 20 min::	_6-15:15-30: Three/wk 30 min:45 min	Class: Four/wkDa :60 min:	 aily Other:		
Measurable Goal: Group Size: Frequency: Duration: Intervention Teacher	Individua One/wk_ 15 min:_	al:2-5: Two/wk 20 min::	_6-15:15-30: Three/wk 30 min:45 min	Class: Four/wkDa :60 min:	 aily Other:		

If student is not making expected growth (See Reference Sheet 8) with good math interventions, consider a referral for an assessment for a special education evaluation (unless other factors are the primary cause of the student's academic difficulties).

total growth total growth

(last probe) (1st probe)

avg. weekly growth

#weeks

Appendix E Concerns with Behavior

see Reference 9)?	the student being an English Language Learner (PleaseYESNO
_	Referral to School Behavior Team
Student Name:	Date:
School:	Case Manager:
DOB:	Grade:
SpEd: No or Yes	504: No or Yes
Student strengths/interests:	
Presenting concerns (please be specific when des	cribing the behavior):
Academic levels:	
Reading:	
• Math:	
• Writing:	
Later and the form of the later and the late	10010000
Interventions/strategies that have been tried:	Outcome:
	<u>'</u>
Other considerations (eg. slow processing speed,	etc):
Goal Behavior(s):	

Additional Resources

- ✓ Reference Sheet 1 Teaching Strategies according to CALP Level
- ✓ Reference Sheet 2 Specific (Additional) Interventions for ELLs
- ✓ Reference Sheet 3 English Language Proficiency Levels/Assessment Scores
- ✓ Reference Sheet 4 Cognitive Academic Language Proficiency
- ✓ Reference Sheet 5 Language Transfer Issues
- ✓ Reference Sheet 6 Ages of Speech Sound Acquisition
- ✓ Reference Sheet 7 Normal Processes and Phenomena of Second-Language Acquisition
- ✓ Reference Sheet 8 Expected Rates of Growth
- ✓ Reference Sheet 9 Common ELL Behavioral Manifestations that Mimic other Disorders/Deficits
- ✓ Reference Sheet 10 Determining if a student has a Specific Learning Disability

Reference Sheet 1 Teaching Strategies for each CALP level

Official Name	Beginning	Early Intermediate	Intermediate	Early Advanced	Advanced
Teaching	·Use manipulatives,	Continue earlier	Continue earlier	Continue earlier	Continue earlier
Strategies	visuals, realia, games	strategies PLUS:	strategies PLUS:	strategies PLUS:	strategies PLUS:
		·Simplify	·Build on students'	·Have students	·Incorporate note-
	· Create a climate	language, not	prior knowledge	brainstorm list,	taking skills, study
	that supports	content		web, and use	skills, and test-
	acculturation		·Incorporate more	graphic organizers	taking skills
		Design lessons to	reading and		
	· Use cooperative	motivate students	writing	·Ask	Demonstrate how
	learning groups.	to talk		questions	to verify answers
	·Require physical		·Engage students	soliciting opinions,	(oral and written)
	response to check	·Ask students	in producing	judgment,	·Expand figurative
	comprehension	questions that	language such as	explanation (more	language (idioms)
		require one/two	describing,	why and how	
	Display print to	responses: who?	retelling,	questions)	
	support oral	what? which one?	comparing,		
	language	how many?	contrasting,	·Introduce	
			<u> </u>	figurative	
		1		language	
	students	expand vocabulary	graphs/charts/diag		
			rams.	Develop more	
	·Use hands-on	·List and review		academic language	
	activities	instructions step by step		(oral and written).	
	·Use bilingual peer				
	helpers	·Teach content			
		area			
	·Adjust rate of	vocabulary/termin			
	speech to enhance	ology.			
	comprehension				
	·Ask yes/no				
	questions				
	·Ask students to				
	show/point/draw				

Organized by Stacey Lee

Reference Sheet 2 Specific (Additional) Interventions for ELLs

PLUSS Framework

Sanford, A., Esparza Brown, J., Turner, M. (2013). Enhancing Instruction for English Learners in Response to Intervention Systems: The PLUSS Model. *Multiple Voices for Ethnically Diverse Learners*, 13 (1), 56-70.

The thought behind this is that there are limited intervention programs that include English Learners in their research base, so Sanford, Brown, and Turner reviewed the literature and identified evidence based practices for English Learners in order to put them in a framework that teachers can use to improve the interventions that they already have/are using. There needs to be at least a 10 minute oracy (speaking and listening) component to all interventions.

Pre-teaching Vocabulary in 7 Steps

Calderón, M. (2011). *Teaching Reading & Comprehension to English Learners K-5*. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press, 16-17.

The idea behind this is that systematic vocabulary instruction is needed to close the gap between English learners and native English peers. The average native English speaker enters kindergarten with 5,000 words. ELLs enter with a wide range of English vocabulary, but are usually significantly behind their fluent classmates. Social English is learned quickly, but academic English needs to develop rapidly as well. Teaching and learning of academic English (Tier 2 and 3 words) must happen in the classroom, as it will occur nowhere else. ELLs will not learn pronunciation from writing vocabulary words and silent reading. Calderon's seven steps is one routine for pre-teaching vocabulary that includes oracy components and use of the L1. Focus on oral activities first, supporting with examples of the words used in context.

Vocabulary Notebook

Kinsella, K. (2012). Accelerating Long-Term English Learner Achievement. Santa Barbara, CA.

Students learning English have difficulty discerning the meaning of unknown vocabulary in context. Having students look up the meaning in a dictionary does not help much as a stand-alone strategy. Modeling or demonstrating academic word usage and requiring verbal and written participation (with scaffolds) can greatly improve comprehension. Long-term EL expert, Kate Kinsella, has a very structured routine for high-utility vocabulary instruction that includes both sentence frames and stems. The teacher models correct word usage and students participate both orally and in writing. This routine could be integrated into current interventions used or consider purchasing Kinsella's *Academic Vocabulary Toolkit* or the Scholastic curriculum *English 3D*, also authored by Kinsella and specifically created for long-term English language learners.

Reference Sheet 3 English Language Proficiency Levels/Assessment Scores

	BEGINNING	EARLY INTERMEDIATE	INTERMEDIATE	EARLY ADVANCED	ADVANCED
	1	2	3	4	5
Pre-Kinder	IPT: A	IPT: B	IPT: C	IPT: D	IPT: E
	WMLS: Negligible	WMLS: Very Limited	WMLS: Limited	WMLS: Fluent	WMLS: Advanced
Fall Kinder	IPT: A	IPT: B*	IPT: B*	IPT: C	IPT: D, E, F
	WMLS: Negligible	WMLS: Very Limited	WMLS: Limited	WMLS: Fluent	WMLS: Advanced
Spring Kinder	IPT: A	IPT: B	IPT: C	IPT: D	IPT: E, F
	ELPA:	ELPA: 481	ELPA: 491	ELPA: 497	ELPA: 505
	WMLS: Negligible	WMLS: Very Limited	WMLS: Limited	WMLS: Fluent	WMLS: Advanced
Grade 1	IPT: A	IPT: B	IPT: C	IPT: D	IPT: E, F
	ELPA:	ELPA: 491	ELPA: 503	ELPA: 512	ELPA: 522
	WMLS: Negligible	WMLS: Very Limited	WMLS: Limited	WMLS: Fluent	WMLS: Advanced
Grade 2	IPT: A, B	IPT: C	IPT: D	IPT: E	IPT: F
	ELPA:	ELPA: 492	ELPA: 504	ELPA: 514	ELPA: 521
	WMLS: Negligible	WMLS: Very Limited	WMLS: Limited	WMLS: Fluent	WMLS: Advanced
Grade 3	IPT: A, B	IPT: C	IPT: D	IPT: E	IPT: F
	ELPA:	ELPA: 500	ELPA: 511	ELPA: 521	ELPA: 526
	WMLS: Negligible	WMLS: Very Limited	WMLS: Limited	WMLS: Fluent	WMLS: Advanced
Grade 4	IPT: A, B	IPT: C	IPT: D	IPT: E	IPT: F
	ELPA:	ELPA: 494	ELPA: 504	ELPA: 514	ELPA: 522
	WMLS: Negligible	WMLS: Very Limited	WMLS: Limited	WMLS: Fluent	WMLS: Advanced
Grade 5	IPT: A, B	IPT: C	IPT: D	IPT: E	IPT: F
	ELPA:	ELPA: 496	ELPA: 508	ELPA: 515	ELPA: 524
	WMLS: Negligible	WMLS: Very Limited	WMLS: Limited	WMLS: Fluent	WMLS: Advanced
Grade 6	IPT: A, B	IPT: C	IPT: D	IPT: E	IPT: F
	ELPA:	ELPA: 493	ELPA: 504	ELPA: 516	ELPA: 522
	WMLS: Negligible	WMLS: Very Limited	WMLS: Limited	WMLS: Fluent	WMLS: Advanced

^{***}½ to 1 Level of growth is expected each year***

ELPA=English Language Proficiency Assessment (from Cut Scores Adopted in 2013)
IPT=IDEA Proficiency Test
WMLS=Woodcock Muñoz Language Survey

Reference Sheet 4 Cognitive Academic Language Proficiency

Basic Interpersonal Communication Skills (BICS) is the everyday language that most children acquire through interacting with family members and other children. ELLs acquire this in a few short years in English settings. It is often called "playground language."

Cognitive Academic Language Proficiency (CALP) is the language children need to be successful in the classroom. They will not learn CALP on the playground and on average can take anywhere between 5-7 years to develop. CALP is not just the highlighted vocabulary in a textbook that the publishing company suggests students pay special attention to. It includes the academic words and sentence structures that we assume most native English speakers know, even though they never use them outside the classroom. Other things to watch out for include idioms, acronyms, homophones, homographs, and homonyms.

What is expected at each CALP level

Official Name	Beginning	Early Intermediate	Intermediate	Early Advanced	Advanced
Definition	minimal comprehension of general meaning. Students follow basic instructions, respond nonverbally or in single words and phrases, and	of general meaning and some specific meaning through participation using key words and familiar phrases in face-to-face	Students demonstrate good comprehension of general meaningand increased comprehension of specific meaning by responding orally and in written form (charts, graphs, diagrams).	Students demonstrate consistent comprehension of general meaning and good understanding of implied meaning, orally and in writing, in both context-embedded and context-reduced situations.	Students comprehend general and implied meaning, including idiomatic and figurative language. Comprehension is demonstrated in decontextualized situations, orally and in writing.
	early: points to the bear later: <i>brown, bear</i>	The bear is brown. He is eating.	The brown bear lived with his family in the forest.	Can bears live in the forest if they find food there?	Would you like me to bring pictures of the bear that I saw last summer?
Oral Language Skills	repeat; identify people, object, place; answer yes/no, who, what, where	simple questions; role-play; retell; summarize	compare/contrast; identify main points of a story; explain; describe; define using content-related vocabulary	present, report; identify main idea and supporting details; solicit information; analyze, hypothesize; identify antonyms and synonyms; use affixes; infer word meaning	debate, evaluate, persuade, justify; explain common antonyms and synonyms; recognize multiple meanings in text of familiar topic; understand, create jokes
Reading Skills	words and read high frequency words	contextualized vocabulary and language structures in texts that are in familiar,	read high frequency words, contextualized vocabulary and language structures in familiar text; read more complex text from language experience		read grade-level text with English language development (vocabulary and structure) support through pre-teaching
	write simple sentences	using frames or simple	write using frames or simple vignettes from experience with word banks and other supports	compose with scaffolds (formats, vocabulary webs)	compose more complex writing using conventions
Typical Timeline	0-6 months in U.S. school	6 mo1 yr. in U.S. school	1-3 years in U.S. school	3-5 years in U.S. school	5-7 years in U.S. school
Please note indi	vidual progress depends on several f	actors, including previous schooling,	acculturation, and motivation. Studer	nts with no prior school will take longer to p	rogress through these stages

Adapted by Stacey Lee from ODE's "Oregon English Language Proficiency Standards" 2004

Reference Sheet 5 Language Transfer Issues

English	Spanish						
Phonemes	Phonemes in English not present in Spanish:						
	/ng/, /v/, /th/, voiced-/th/, /z/, /sh/, /j/, /h/, /ch/,						
	Common consonant sound substitutions:						
	Sebra/zebra, share/chair, dat/that, tief/thief, faver/father, sin/thin, wish/with, Yoe/Joe, fush/fudge,						
	berry/very; estop/stop						
	Common vowel sound substitutions:						
	Cheap/chip, call/coal						
Word Order	Verb precedes subject						
	(Good grades got everybody.)						
	Subject pronoun can be omitted						
	(Is cloudy.)						
Verbs	No –ing						
	(I enjoy to play video games.)						
	that clause is uses instead of an infinitive						
	(I want that you help me.)						
	(i want that you help me.)						
	Present tense can be used in place of future and present perfect tense						
	(I turn it in tomorrow.)						
	have is used to express states of being						
	(I have thirst.)						
Name	as is added to force a glored colour the consideration and an extension an						
Nouns	-es is added to form a plural when the word ends in a consonant						
Duana	(We need to paint the walles.)						
Pronouns	No distinction between subject and object pronouns						
	(I gave the pencil to she.)						
	Definite articles are used for parts of the body, instead of possessive pronouns						
	(Marta broke the arm.)						
Articles	Definite article used before proper noun						
7 11 21 21 23	(The Professor Smith teaches in Florida.)						
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
	Indefinite article is not used before a profession						
	(He is teacher.)						
Adjectives	Can reflect number and gender						
	(I have smarts friends.)						
Negatives	Double negatives are used frequently						
	(They don't know nothing.)						
	Negative weakley goes hefere the work whose						
	Negative marker goes before the verb phrase						
	(Mark not has paid the bill.)						

Reference Sheet 6 Ages of Speech Sound Acquisition

Spanish Developmental Articulation Norms
"By the age of, 90% of children have mastered thesound(s) in conversation."
3 - /m/, /b/, /p/
4 - /k/, /l/, /w/, /f/, /y/, /t/, /n/
5 - /ch/, /ñ/, /d/, /g/, /r/
6 - /x/, /s/
7 - /rr/
Based on Acevedo, M.A. (1993) & Jimenez, B.C. (1987)
English Developmental Articulation Norms
"By the age of, 85-90% of children have mastered thesound(s) in conversation."
3 - /p/, /m/, /h/, /n/, /w/, /b/, /k/, /g/,/t/,
4 - /d/, /f/,
5 - /l/, /s/, /ch/, /sh/,/ng/, /y/, /j/
6 - /r/, /v/
7 - /z/, voiceless-/th/, voiced-/th/

Speech sounds norms taken from the Goldman Fristoe Test of Articulation – 2 (2000).

Reference Sheet 7

Normal Processes and Phenomena of Second-Language Acquisition

Interference

- ELLs may manifest *interference* or *transfer* from their first language (L1) to English (L2).
- This means that a child may make an English error due to the direct influence of an L1 structure
 - For example, in Spanish, "esta casa es mas grande" means "this house is bigger."
 However, a literal translation would be "this house is more bigger." A Spanish-speaking child who said "this house is more bigger" would be manifesting transfer from Spanish to English.
- This is a normal phenomenon-a sign of a language difference, not a language disorder.

Silent Period

- Children may also manifest a common second-language acquisition phenomenon called the silent period
- When children are first exposed to a second language, frequently they focus on listening and comprehension.
- These children are often very quiet, speaking little as they focus on understanding the new language
- The younger the child, the longer the silent period tends to last.
 - Older children may remain in the silent period for a few weeks or a few months, whereas preschoolers may be relatively silent for a year or more.

Codeswitching

- Many ELLs also engage in a behavior known as codeswitching.
- This involves changing languages over phrases or sentences
- For example, a Spanish speaker might say, "Quiero ir a los movies." ("I want to go to the movies).
- Again, this is a normal phenomenon engaged in by many fluent bilingual speakers worldwide.

Language Loss

- Some children who are ELLs undergo the phenomenon of *language loss*.
- As they learn English, they lose skills and fluency in L1 if their L1 is not reinforced and maintained
 - This is called subtractive bilingualism, and it can be cognitively and linguistically very detrimental to children's learning and to their family lives (especially if the parents speak only the L1 and no English)
 - o Ideally, children should experience *additive bilingualism*, where they learn English while their first language and culture are maintained and reinforced.

Roseberry-McKibbin, C. & Brice, A. (n.d.) *Acquiring English as a Second Language: What's "Normal," What's not*. Retrieved from http://www.asha.org/public/speech/development/easl.htm

Reference Sheet 8 Expected Rates of Growth per Week

	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	HS
AIMSeb Phoneme Seg. Fluency	0.8	0.4								
easyCBM Phoneme Seg. Fluency	1.2	0.5								
AIMSweb Letter Sound Fluency	0.9	0.6								
easyCBM Letter Sound Fluency	1.0	0.5								
AIMSweb Nonsense Word Fluency	0.9	0.9								
Generic Nonsense Word Fluency	0.8-1.3	0.87-1.18								
AIMSweb Oral Reading Fluency		1.4	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.4	***
Generic Oral Reading Fluency		2-3	1.2-2	1-1.5	0.85-1.1	0.5-1	0.3-0.8	0.7	0.6	
Generic MAZE/Daze		0.4-0.85	0.4-0.85	0.4-0.85	0.4 -0.85	0.4-0.85	0.4 -0.85			
AIMSweb MAZE		0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	***
easyCBM Reading Comprehension			0.1	0.1	0.03	0	0.03	0	0	***
Generic Digit Fluency-Math Comp		0.3-0.5	0.3-0.5	0.3-0.5	0.75-1.2	0.75-1.2				
DIBELS Math Computation*		0.25	0.20	0.50	0.75	0.75				
AIMSweb Math Concepts & App.			0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	***
easyCBM Math Benchmark	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	***
easyCBM CCSS Math							0.1	0.1	0.1	***
easyCBM Math Prog Monitoring**	0.1-0.2	0.1-0.2	0.1-0.2	0.1-0.2	0.1-0.2	0.1-0.2	0.1-0.2	0.1-0.2	0.1-0.2	***
MBSP Math Computation		1.5	0.40	0.40	0.70	0.70	0.70			
MBSP Math Concepts/App.		0.40	0.40	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70			
STAR Reading and Math	Use Goa	Use Goal Setting Wizard (Growth rate achieved by 50% of the students with a similar percentile rank)								
STAR Reading	Please se	Please see chart on Reference Sheet 6b								
Scholastic Reading Inventory	Please se	lease see chart on Reference Sheet 6b								

Overall concepts and generic growth rates from: Fuchs, Fuchs, Hamlett, Watz, & German (1993), Hasbrouck & Tindal Oral Reading Fluency Data (2005), IntensiveIntervention.org

<u>AIMSweb</u> information is from the AIMSweb growth table Rate of Improvement (ROI) which is the Spring Score minus Fall Score divided by 36 weeks. The 50th%ile ROI was used for this table.

<u>easyCBM</u> growth rates were calculated using the Norm Calculations from "2012-2013 Interpreting the easyCBM Progress Monitoring Test Results." The growth rate was calculated by using the 50th%ile Spring score minus the 50th%ile Fall score divided by 32 weeks.

^{*}Based on DIBELS Math Benchmarks and 32 weeks of intervention per year

^{**}easyCBM has different Math Progress Monitoring Measures at each grade. All of the measures ranged from 0-0.2 growth using the above method.

^{***} Both easyCBM and AIMSweb programs state you can use their measures for high school students who are at the Tier 3/Intensive level. It is best to measure student growth on measures that the student scores between the 10th and 50th percentile.

Expected Rates of Growth per Week

Scholastic Reading Inventory/Read180 "Growth Expectations: Setting Achievable Goals" Kimberly A. Knutson, Ed.D., Scholastic Research. Average Annual Growth

Fall Lexile	Grade									
Zone	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
BR	329L	336L	318L	304L	336L	350L	329L	364L		
100L-190L	268L	277L	268L	240L	262L	276L	258L	287L		
200L-290L	216L	226L	222L	185L	199L	215L	198L	223L		
300L-390L	174L	183L	185L	142L	152L	167L	150L	170L		
400L-490L	143L	150L	155L	112L	117L	130L	115L	130L		
500L-590L	117L	122L	128L	88L	90L	102L	87L	102L		
600L-690L	96L	99L	106L	72L	72L	81L	68L	82L		
700L-790L	79L	80L	87L	60L	60L	67L	55L	69L		
800L-890L	65L	65L	70L	51L	52L	58L	47L	60L		
900L-990L	50L	50L	54L	43L	46L	50L	41L	55L		
1000L-1090L	33L	35L	38L	34L	40L	43L	36L	50L		
1100L-1190L	12L	20L	21L	23L	32L	35L	30L	44L		

[&]quot;Getting the Most Out of STAR Reading" 2010 Renaissance Learning

Grade- Suggested		60 Min. Daily Practice			30 Min. Daily Practice			20 Min. Daily Practice		
Equivalent Score	ZPD	Points per Week	Points per 6 Weeks	Points per 9 Weeks	Points per Week	Points per 6 Weeks	Points per 9 Weeks	Points per Week	Points per 6 Weeks	Points per 9 Weeks
1.0	1.0 – 2.0	1.7	10	15	0.9	5.0	7.5	0.6	3.3	5.0
1.5	1.5 – 2.5	1.9	11	17	1.0	5.5	8.5	0.6	3.7	5.7
2.0	2.0 – 3.0	2.1	13	19	1.1	6.5	9.5	0.7	4.3	6.3
2.5	2.3 – 3.3	2.3	14	21	1.2	7.0	10.5	0.8	4.7	7.0
3.0	2.6 – 3.6	2.5	15	23	1.3	7.5	11.5	0.8	5.0	7.7
3.5	2.8 – 4.0	2.7	16	24	1.4	8.0	12.0	0.9	5.3	8.0
4.0	3.0 – 4.5	2.8	17	25	1.4	8.5	12.5	0.9	5.7	8.3
4.5	3.2 – 5.0	3.2	19	29	1.6	9.5	14.5	1.0	6.3	9.7
5.0	3.4 – 5.4	3.5	21	32	1.8	10.5	16.0	1.2	7.0	10.7
5.5	3.7 – 5.7	3.9	23	35	2.0	11.5	17.5	1.3	7.7	11.7
6.0	4.0 – 6.1	4.2	25	39	2.1	12.5	19.5	1.4	8.3	13.0
6.5	4.2 – 6.5	4.6	28	41	2.3	14	20.5	1.5	9.3	13.7
7.0	4.3 – 7.0	4.9	29	44	2.5	14.5	22.0	1.6	9.7	14.7
7.5	4.4 – 7.5	5.3	32	48	2.7	16.0	24.0	1.8	10.7	16.0
8.0	4.5 – 8.0	5.6	34	50	2.8	17.0	25.0	1.9	11.3	16.7
9.0	4.6 – 9.0	6.3	38	57	3.2	19.0	28.5	2.1	12.7	19.0
10.0	4.7 – 10.0	6.9	41	62	3.5	20.5	31.0	2.3	13.7	20.7
11.0	4.8 – 11.0	7.6	46	68	3.8	23.0	34.0	2.5	15.3	22.7
12.0	4.9 – 12.0	8.3	50	75	4.2	25.0	37.5	2.8	16.7	25.0

Reference Sheet 9

Common ELL Behavior Manifestations that Mimic Other Disorders/Deficits

Classroom Behavior and Performance						
Behaviors associated with learning problems	Manifestations in ELLs					
Slow to begin tasks	 ELLs have limited comprehension of classroom language so they may not always clearly understand instructions on how to properly begin or complete tasks 					
Slow to finish tasks	 ELLs may have to translate material between English and their native language, which extends the time needed to complete tasks 					
Forgetful	Due to limited English proficiency and comprehension, what appears to be forgetfulness may be a limited ability to fully encode information into memory					
Inattentive/Distractible	 ELLs may not fully understand the language used in the classroom and therefore will move their attention to whatever they can comprehend or may not know exactly what they should be paying attention to 					
Hyperactive/Impulsive	 Due to a lack of awareness or cultural differences related to situation-specific behavioral norms, classroom rules, and other rules of social behavior, ELLs may appear hyperactive or impulsive 					
Disruptive	 Disruptive behavior or excessive talking may often be related to the process of figuring out what is expected or frustration about not knowing what to do or how to do it 					
Disorganized	ELLs may not be comprehending the instructions on how to organize or arrange materials and may never have been taught efficient learning and problem solving strategies					

Ortiz., S. (2008). Best practices in nondiscriminatory assessment. In A. Thomas & J. Grimes (Eds.), *Best practices in school psychology V* (pp. 666–678). Bethesda, MD: National Association of School Psychologists.

Reference Sheet 10 Determining if a student has a Specific Learning Disability

REMEMBER: Gathering information prior to the referral is essential! Standardized testing can only show so much.

- Spanish language cognitive assessments are not always appropriate
 - Many students only receive instruction in English
- Comparing scores on an English cognitive assessment to students who speak English at home is also not appropriate
- We use the **Culture-Language Interpretive Matrix** to determine if our cognitive assessments are valid measures of their cognitive ability or if the scores are just measuring their language skills and knowledge of US culture.

Degree of cultural loading

Degree of linguistic demand

These subtests require more knowledge/experience with U.S. culture

These subtests require more language skills

Tests can be grouped according to their level of cultural loading and linguistic demand. *Typically, scores of English Language Learners gradually decrease in value as the linguistic demand and cultural loading increase (from the top-left to the bottom-right of this chart).*

Degree of Linguistic Demand								
		LOW		MEDIUM		HIGH		
		Fluid Reasoning A	74	Short-term Memory A	94	Short-term Memory C	74	
	>	Fluid Reasoning B	74	Processing Speed A	100			
ing	LOW	Visual Processing A	97	Processing Speed B	100			
Loading								
1 2		AVERA	GE= 82	AVER	AGE= 98	AVE	RAGE= 74	
of Cultural	_	Long-term Memory A	85	Long-term Memory C	87			
불	5	Long-term Memory B	97	Short-term Memory B	74			
) j c	MEDIUM	Visual Processing B	88					
e o	Σ	AVERA	GE = 90	AVER	AGE= 81			
Degree						Comprehension/Knowledge A	(Eng) 88	
ă	ă _±					Comprehension/Knowledge E	, 0,	
	HE HE					Comprehension/Knowledge ((Eng) 71	
	_					A	VERAGE= 80	

Our **PSW** (Patterns of Strengths and Weaknesses) evaluations look at the 7 broad areas of Cognitive Ability that make up "IQ" to see if there is a research-based link between the area(s) of academic underachievement and the area(s) of cognitive weakness. Your district may use a different method.

Cognitive Ability	Reading	Math	Writing
Fluid Reasoning: Problem solving with novel information. Recognize and understand relationships and patterns; inductive/deductive reasoning.	Moderate	STRONG	Moderate
Comprehension/Knowledge: Breadth and depth of acquired knowledge. Primarily verbal, language-based knowledge.	STRONG	STRONG	STRONG
Short-Term/Working Memory: Immediate recall of a sequence. Remember and reorganize information; requires divided attention.	STRONG	STRONG	STRONG
Visual Processing: Ability to analyze/synthesize visual stimuli. Visual memory, discrimination, and visual-spatial abilities.	Moderate	Moderate	
Phonological/Auditory Processing: Ability to analyze/ synthesize auditory stimuli, and discriminate sounds.	STRONG		Moderate
Long-Term Memory and Retrieval: Ability to store information in long-term memory, and fluently retrieve new or acquired information.	STRONG		Moderate
Processing Speed: Mental quickness. Ability to fluently/automatically perform cognitive tasks, especially under pressure to maintain concentration.	STRONG	STRONG	STRONG